

Serial No. 10/806,066  
Response dated April 16, 2009  
Response to Advisory Action of March 12, 2009


REMARKS

The Examiner's allowance of claims 22-24 is appreciated. The Examiner's help is appreciated in understanding the remaining rejections and claim objections during a telephone interview with Applicant's attorney Collen Beard on April 15, 2009. In response to that conversation, the above claim amendments are submitted wherein claims 1-21 have again been cancelled and new claims 29-36 are added. Claim 25 has been made dependent on claim 22. New claims 29 and 31 are claim 25 placed in dependent form on claims 23 and 24 respectively. Claim 27 has been made dependent on claim 22. New claims 33 and 35 are claim 27 placed in dependent form on claims 23 and 24, respectively. Claims 26 and 28 remain dependent on claims 25 and 27 and new claims 30, 32, 34, and 36 are dependent on 29, 31, 33, and 35, respectively.

Since claims 22-24 are allowed and the remaining claims are now all dependent thereon, it is apparent that claims 25-36 should also be allowed. Since this amendment places the application in condition for allowance, entry of this amendment into the record after final rejection is proper.

Respectfully submitted,

**KING & SCHICKLI, PLLC**



Warren D. Schickli  
Registration No. 31,057

247 North Broadway  
Lexington, KY 40507  
(859) 252-0889